Thus, even if Reeve's (1) does not suffice on its own to explain why fire is primary for Heraclitus, it may – if suggestion (B) is correct – help to explain how it is primary for Zeno.¹¹

University College London

R. W. SHARPLES

¹¹ In the second part of this note I have benefited greatly from the discussion at the colloquium on Stoic physics held in Cambridge in 1977.

SOPHOCLES, OEDIPUS TYRANNUS 873

ῦβρις φυτεύει τύραννον εβρις κτλ. Thus the MSS, Schol. (= Suda v 15) and Stobaeus 4. 8. 11 (p. 298 H.). εβριν φυτεύει τυραννίς· εβρις κτλ. Thus Blaydes, followed recently by R. P. Winnington-Ingram, JHS 91 (1971), 126 = Sophocles. An interpretation (Cambridge, 1980), p. 192 ('What is positively gained by making this correction? Three things'); R. D. Dawe, Sophoclis Tragoediae (Teubner, 1975), i. 156 and Sophocles. Oedipus Rex (Cambridge, 1982), pp. 18, 61, 182 f. ('We may be sure of this for two reasons'); R. W. B. Burton, The Chorus in Sophocles' Tragedies (Oxford, 1980), p. 164 ('The resulting sense is admirable'); J. Diggle, CR n.s. 32 (1982), 14 ('My heart warms... What is drastic about altering three letters?').

Second: $\tau\nu\rho\alpha\nu\nu\dot{\iota}c$ breaks the train of thought. There is a clear contrast between strophe and antistrophe: the Chorus pray for $\epsilon\dot{\nu}\epsilon\epsilon\pi\tau\sigma c$ $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\nu\epsilon\dot{\iota}a$ (864), because they fear its opposite, $\ddot{\nu}\beta\rho\iota c$, which leads to tyranny and destruction. 'They pray for purity and reverence. They assert that it is pride and violence that produce a Tyrant. They hope that Oedipus is not a Tyrant' (J. T. Sheppard, The Oedipus Tyrannus of Sophocles (Cambridge, 1920), p. 151). Similarly J. C. Kamerbeek, The Plays of Sophocles. Part IV (Leiden, 1967), pp. 175 f. In this context the sequence 'May I be pure: Tyranny begets Hybris' would be lame and disjointed (or are we to imagine that the Chorus are tyrants?).

Third: a dull commonplace is no substitute for a splendid poetic image. Cf. Cratinus' $C\tau \acute{a}c\iota c$ δè καὶ πρεςβυγενης | Χρόνος ἀλλήλοις μιγέντε | μέγιστον τίκτετον τύραννον (= fr. 258 in PCG vol. IV). At OT 1080 Oedipus calls himself παίδα της $T\acute{v}χης$ and at Eur. Troades 768 f. Helen is cursed as the daughter of 'Αλάςτορος μèν πρῶτον, εἶτα δè $Φθ\acute{o}vov$ | $Φ\acute{o}vov$ τε Θανάτου θ'. For other genealogical metaphors see Sandbach on Men. Dysc. 88.

Trinity Hall, Cambridge

COLIN AUSTIN

A NOTE ON ARISTOPHANES, CLOUDS 977-8

ηλείψατο δ' αν τοὺμφαλοῦ οὐδεὶς παῖς ὑπένερθεν τότ' αν, ὥστε τοῖς αἰδοίοισι δρόσος καὶ χνοῦς ὥσπερ μήλοισιν ἐπήνθει.

K. J. Dover, in *Greek Homosexuality* (London, 1978), p. 125 n. 1, observes: 'My interpretation *ad loc*. (sc. in his Commentary), that *drosos* is Cowper's secretion, appearing when the boy's penis has been erected by titillation, is far-fetched (I am bound to agree with some reviewers on this), but no other interpretation so far seems to me to pay enough attention to the semantics of *drosos* or to explain why Right